

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/576,786	04/21/2006	Benoit Fecamp	154548/0341-071	5922
86661 Potomac Pater	7590 09/16/201 t Group PLLC	EXAMINER		
P.O. Box 270	•	SUERETH, SARAH ELIZABETH		
Fredericksburg	g, VA 22404		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3749	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/16/2010	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

tammy@ppglaw.com gpo.mail@ge.com andrea@ppglaw.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/576,786	FECAMP ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
SARAH SUERETH	3749		

	SARAH SUERETH	3749	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 30 August 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AI	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	
 \(\)\[\)\[\]\[\)\[\]\[\]\[\]\[\]\[replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance FR 1.114. The reply must be filed	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	date of the final rejection	n.
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) a:
NOTICE OF APPEAL	" 'th 07 OFD 44 07	Florid 140 to . 6	
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
	. A series to the state of Class a being		
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, the same of the proposed amendment (a) They raise new issues that would require further continuous. 			cause
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo			
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	ter form for appeal by materially red	ducing or simplifying t	ne issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	sorresponding number of finally reje	otod oldiirio.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-Cor	mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324)
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 			
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all		imely filed amendmen	nt canceling the
non-allowable claim(s).		•	
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		I be entered and an e	xplanation of
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-20.			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>7-20</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appear and was not earlier presented. Se	al and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a).
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	DTO/SB/08) Daner No/e)		
12. Mote the attached information Disclosure Statement(s).	i Torobroori apel No(s).		

13. A Other: The proposed claims include new limitations not previously considered that would require further consideration and search.

/Steven B. McAllister/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3749